	Case 8	3:08-cr-	-00150-	AG Document 7 Fi	led 05/21/08	Page 1 of 5	Page ID #:36	
1								
2							"O"	
3								
4								
5								
6								
7								
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT							
9	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA							
10								
11	UNI	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Case No.: SA 08-221M						
12				Plaint	ff, { O	RDER OF D	ETENTION	
13	vs.				{			
14	JEANNE M. ROWZEE,							
15				Defen	dant. ) )			
16								
17					<b>I.</b>			
18	A.	( )		notion of the Govern		e allegedly in	nvolving:	
19		1. () a crime of violence.						
20		2. ( ) an offense with maximum sentence of life imprisonment or death.						
21	3. () a narcotics or controlled substance offense with maximum sentence							
22		4	( )	of ten or more year		envicted of tw	vo or more prior	
<ul><li>23</li><li>24</li></ul>		4. ( ) any felony - where defendant convicted of two or more prior offenses described above.						
25								
26	5. () any felony that is not otherwise a crime of violence that involves a minor victim, or possession or use of a firearm or destructive device							
27	or any other dangerous weapon, or a failure to register under 18							
28	U.S.C. § 2250.							
_0								

- A. (X) the nature and circumstances of the offense(s) charged, including whether the offense is a crime of violence, a Federal crime of terrorism, or involves a minor victim or a controlled substance, firearm, explosive, or destructive device;
- B. (X) the weight of evidence against the defendant;

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- C. (X) the history and characteristics of the defendant; and
- D. (X) the nature and seriousness of the danger to any person or the community.

3

1

2

45

6

7

8

9

11

12

A.

1314

1516

17

18

19

2021

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

IV.

The Court also has considered all the evidence adduced at the hearing and the arguments and/or statements of counsel, and the Pretrial Services

Report/recommendation.

V.

The Court bases the foregoing finding(s) on the following:

As to flight risk: The Court finds Defendant is a flight risk because: (1) (X) she is charged with committing a wire fraud under 18 U.S.C. § 1343 through a sophisticated fraudulent investment scheme that resulted in over \$20,000,000 in losses to her victims; (2) she faces up to a \$1,000,000 fine and/or up to 30 years of imprisonment if convicted; (3) on May 20, 2008, she stipulated to the entry of a \$66,000,000 judgment against her in a related civil suit pending before District Judge David O. Carter; (4) her home is in foreclosure; (5) she is unemployed; (6) she has extensive overseas travel experience and the Government has proffered she was in the process of obtaining passports for her two children; (7) although her driver's license has been suspended or revoked until November, 2008, the Government has proffered that the FBI has had Defendant under surveillance and an FBI case agent has observed Defendant driving a vehicle during this time; and (8) her unstable mental state as evidenced by the nature of the charged offense, and the fact that she has been seeking psychiatric help since August 2005, and then four months later, on December 19, 2005, she was charged by the State with spousal battery in violation of Cal. Penal Code § 243(E)(1); and (9) the charged offense is

the Attorney General for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the

28